

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,258	09/21/2001	Paramvir Bahl	MS1-0937US	4336
23801 7590 060082009 LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			REFAL RAMSEY	
SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, W	'A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: PARAMVIR BAHL and ALLEN K.L. MIU

Appeal No. 2008-6263 Application 09/960,258 Technology Center 3600

Mailed: June 8, 2009

Before DALE M. SHAW, Chief Appeals Administrator

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 14, 2008. Upon review of the application, it has been determined that a remand to Examiner, via the Office of the Director of the Technology Center, is necessary to consider the following issues and to take necessary corrective action.

CLAIM REJECTION WITHOUT CORRESPONDING GROUND OF REJECTION

A review of the Final Rejection mailed on March 21, 2006, finds the

following status of the claims: claims cancelled: none, claims allowed: none,

claims rejected: 1-7, 19-26, and 38-40, claims withdrawn: 45-49.

While claim 26 is listed as rejected, there is no corresponding ground

of rejection for this claim. A further review of the file finds that no

amendment after final was filed.

A review of the Appeal Brief filed on February 15, 2007, finds that

while claim 26 is listed as rejected, there is no corresponding ground of

rejection for this claim.

A review of the Examiner's Answer mailed on June 14, 2007,

finds that while claim 26 is listed as rejected, there is no corresponding

ground of rejection for this claim.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be returned to the Examiner to:

1) review the above inconsistencies to determine how to clarify the

record:

2

Appeal No. 2008-6263 Application No. 09/960,258

2) vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed on June 14, 2007, and

3) issue a corrected Examiner's Answer to correct the Grounds of

Rejection and to correct other sections of the Answer as may be required;

4) include the necessary approval from the TC Director or designee in

accordance with MPEP 1207.05, part (B) as may be required for any new

grounds of rejection; and

3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/bar

LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE

SUITE 1400

SPOKANE, WA 99201

3